•	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET A REGISTRY	
SUBJECT: (Optional)				FILE: 18-6-	
Executive Secretary Language Development Room 426, CofC			EXTENSION	83-0315/11	TAT
TO: (Officer designation, room number, building)	and 0 FE'S D 1988	ATE 9 FEW 1983	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
1. James McDonald Chairman, LDC 7D-18, Hqs.		1 MAR 1983	9	Recently some directorate LIP	
3.				policy differences surfaced during the coordination of the FY-1982 Annual Report and the revised headquarters notice which	
4.					ΓΑΤ
5.				The major contention is the proposed DDO policy which would allow Language Use Award recipients to opt for the greater cash awards for groups II and III languages	
<b>6</b> .				in the Maintenance Program instead of taking the Use Award. DDS&T has proposed that this policy not	
7.				be allowed.  Additionally, the Comptroller's	
8.				office in coordinating ST on the proposed LIP notice, suggested that whatever the decision on this	ГАТ
9.				matter is, it should be addressed directly in the notice.	
10.				Attached are memoranda covering both positions. The DO paper is being coordinated in Directorate	
11.				divisions; it has not yet been submitted for approval.	
12.				Feelings about this matter are strong. We believe an LDC meeting to discuss this proposal more	
13.				fully would be appropriate. If you agree we will schedule a meeting for later this month.	
14.				STA	ΑT
15.					

CONFIDENTIAL

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

## CONFIDENTIAL Approved For Release 2008/03/06: CIA-RDP85B01152R000200300017-7

MEMORANDOM FOR:	beputy birector for Operations	
VIA :	Chief, Career Management Staff	
FROM :	DO Senior Training Officer	STAT
SUBJECT :	Language Maintenance Award Standards	

- 1. Within the Language Incentive Program (LIP) each Directorate retains a degree of latitude in terms of implementation as a way of assuring that LIP can be made responsive to differing objectives and situations. Notwithstanding that basic flexibility, it is equally important that, within a given Directorate, the LIP program be applied uniformly to prevent inequities, distortions and erosion of basic confidence in the system and the program. With the above in mind this proposal is made in regards to Language Maintenance Awards (LMA) which, by virtue of certain peculiarities of LIP, lend themselves to differing groundrules.
- 2. It is recommended that the following guide all DO components:
  - a. Reaffirm Agency Language School as the principal testing office for monetary awards and acceptance of other tests only when Agency testing is not possible.
  - b. For language maintenance awards, which are paid annually, there must be annual testing for all working in or transitting the Headquarters area.
  - c. For individuals serving abroad the Agency test is valid until the end of tour or whenever the individual transits the Headquarters area. The obligation is on the individual to seek and be tested at the Language School to be able to collect maintenance awards.
  - d. For the foreseeable future, because the purpose of LIP is to foster language growth and maintenance, there is no limit to the number of language maintenance awards which may be paid to one individual if indeed he or she is available to apply those languages in support of the DO's mission.

CONFIDENTIAL	

STAT

- e. Where a maintenance award exceeds the Language Use Award (LUA) (Group II and III languages) and the individual has been tested successfully at the highest level achieved since 1970, the person may be paid an LMA instead of a LUA. The practical effect of this is to increase payments to individuals in the field using the most difficult languages.
- f. Finally, it is up to each component to assure that individuals are enrolled in language maintenance only when they are genuinely available to use the language(s).

STAT

1494490(0/1		
<del>-</del>		
₹		
,		
APPROVED:		
Deputy Director for Operations	Date	
beputy birector for operations	Date	

2

£^					
R	OUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET	
SUBJECT: (Optional) Proposed Program, DRAF	тв (Јо	b #139)		CIA Language Incentive STA	
FROM: Regulations Control Division			EXTENSION	NO. STA	
1105 Ames Building				DATE 4 February 1983	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE			COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom	
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
Admin Assistant, LDC, OTE				To Linda - STA Our plan has been to publish	
<sup>2.</sup> 426 C o C Building				proposed as soon as STA possible. Forwarded herewith, per our	
3.				telephone discussion today, is a copy of the DDS&T response dated 31 January 1983 for your review.	
4.				It would be appreciated if you would resolve the DDS&T concerns with the DDS&T memberSTA	
5.				of the Language Development Committee, and advise Regulations Control Division.	
6.				We anticipate receiving a response from the Office of General Counsel today.	
7.				Please let me know if I can be of assistance.  STAT	
8.					
9.				Att	
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.				•	
14.					
15.				-	

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS



31 January 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chief, Regulations Control Division	
FROM:		STAT
	Chief, Administrative Staff, DDS&T	
SUBJECT:	Proposed, CIA	STAT
ack.	Language Incentive Program, DRAFT B (Job #139)	

- 1. We in the DDS&T believe that the following sentence should be added at the end of the first paragraph at the top of Page 6 of the subject draft regulation:...meeting language needs. They are not to be used as surrogates for the Language Use Awards, the requirements for which are contained in paragraph 5a.
- 2. We are disturbed to see in the draft report of the Language Development Committee for FY-82, which was circulated recently by the Language School, two references (pages 35 § 41) to the possibility that officers receiving a Language Use Award may, at their option, switch to the maintenance program. The report states that because the maintenance award amounts have been raised to the point where they now exceed the early Language Use Award sum of \$1300, this may cause some individuals currently receiving the Language Use Award to switch to the maintenance program.
- 3. This seems to us a violation of the intent of the program. If an officer is receiving an LUA, he is getting it because language use is a regular part of his work and a major ingredient in effective performance of the job. If that is so, he ought not to be eligible for a maintenance award, which is intended to preserve language skills for eventual later use. If an officer is using language now, he should be paid the Language Use Award; if he is maintaining a language for eventual later use, he should be paid the maintenance award. But, he should not be allowed to substitute the maintenance award for the use award simply because it pays him more money. That is abusing the system.

ystem.		
		STA
	34 24 3	
	ΞĚ	

Notes - y